arXiv:1208.4884v1 [math.RT] 24 Aug 2012

Tightness of Certain Monomials

Weideng Cui
Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing, 100190, P.R. China. E-mail: cwdeng@amss.ac.cn

Abstract

In this note we show that certain monomials in a quantized enveloping algebras of type A_n are not semitight when $n \geq 6$.

Keywords: canonical bases; tight monomials; semitight monomials.

Canonical base of quantized enveloping algebras was introduced by Lusztig and have many remarkable properties. However it is hard to compute the base. By now, the base was only computed for type A_2, A_3, B_2, see [L3, X4, X3]. For type A_4, part of the base was computed in [HYY,HY,LH]. In [L4] Lusztig investigated the tightness of monomials, that is, when a monomial is in the canonical base or is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of elements in the canonical base. Lusztig's work and some subsequent work [B] show that the tightness of monomials is an interesting question and by no means simple.

For a quantized enveloping algebra of finite type, one can associate a natural monomial to a dominate weight. For enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie algebra over complex numbers, this monomial was considered by Verma [V] and has Verma identity. Lusztig showed that Verma's identity is also true for quantized enveloping algebras [L1]. In [X2] Xi showed the monomial is interesting in understanding irreducible modules of quantized enveloping algebras at roots of 1 and rational irreducible modules of semisimple algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic.

A question is whether this monomial is tight (i.e in the canonical base) or semitight (i.e. a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of elements in the canonical base). I was told by Xi that during a conversation with Xi in 1996 Kashiwara supposed that the monomial might be semitight. The monomial is showed to be tight for type A_n ($n \leq 4$), type B_2, see [L4,X4,X3]. For type A_5, Bedard showed it is semitight with the help of computers. In this note we will show that this monomial is semitight for type A_5 by a direct calculation (Theorem 3.1) and show that in general it is not semitight for type A_n, $n \geq 6$ (section 4).

1 Preliminaries

1.1 PBW bases

Let (a_{ij}) be a Cartan matrix of rank n. For simplicity we assume that the matrix is symmetric. The corresponding quantized enveloping algebra U is an associated algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ (v an indeterminate) with generators $E_i,\ F_i,\ K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ subject to some well known relations.

Let U^+ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-subalgebra of U generated by all the E_i. The integral form $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ of U over $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ is the $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of U generated by the elements $E_i^{(N)},\ F_i^{(N)},\ K_i,\ K_i^{-1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n; N \in \mathbb{N})$, where $E_i^{(N)} = \frac{E_i^N}{[N]!}$, $F_i^{(N)} = \frac{F_i^N}{[N]!}$, $[N]! = \prod_{h=1}^N \frac{v^h - v^{-h}}{v - v^{-1}}$. Let $U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ be the $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of U^+ generated by all $E_i^{(N)}(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n; N \in \mathbb{N})$.

Let W be the Weyl group of the Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) generated by the simple reflections $s_1, ..., s_n$. For each s_i, let $\widetilde{T}_i$ be the automorphism of U defined in [L3,1.3]. Let w_0 be the longest element of W and Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of all sequences $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_v)$ in [1,n] such that $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\nu} = w_0$. Given $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_v)$ and $A = (a_1, \cdots, a_\nu) \in \mathbb{N}^\nu$, we define

$$E_{\mathbf{i}}^A = E_{i_1}^{(a_1)} \widetilde{T}_{i_1}(E_{i_2}^{(a_2)}) \cdots \widetilde{T}_{i_1} \widetilde{T}_{i_2} \cdots \widetilde{T}_{i_{\nu-1}}(E_{i_\nu}^{(a_\nu)}).$$

According to [L2, Theorem 6.7] and [DL], for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{X}$, the elements $E_{\mathbf{i}}^A$ $(A \in \mathbb{N}^\nu)$ form an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$, a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-basis of U^+.

1.2 Canonical base

Given $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$-submodule of U^+ spanned by all $E_{\mathbf{i}}^A$ $(A \in \mathbb{N}^\nu)$. It is known that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{i}$, so we simply denote it by $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\bar{\cdot} : U \to U$ be bar involution of U, which is a ring homomorphism of U defined by $\bar{E}_i = E_i,\ \bar{F}_i = F_i,\ \bar{K}_i = K_i^{-1}$, $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$. Lusztig showed that the natural map $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}/v^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-module isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{L} \cap \bar{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathcal{L}/v^{-1}\mathcal{L}$. The image in $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}/v^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ of all $E_{\mathbf{i}}^A$ $(A \in \mathbb{N}^\nu)$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{L}/v^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ which is independent of $\mathbf{i}$, denoted by B. Then $\mathbf{B} = \pi^{-1}(B)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{L} \cap \bar{\mathcal{L}}$, an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-basis of U^+, called the canonical basis of U^+.

The elements in $\mathbf{B}$ is invariant under the bar involution. All $E_i^{(a)}$ are fixed by the bar involution. So it is interesting to see when a monomial in terms of some $E_i^{(a)}$ is in the canonical basis (tight) or a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear of elements in the canonical basis (semitight).

We are concerned with certain special monomials X_λ which are defined as follows. Let R be the root system of (a_{ij}) with simple roots $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ and λ be a dominant weight of the weight lattice of R. Let $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\nu}$ be a reduced expression of the longest element w_0. Define $a_j = \langle s_{i_{j-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} s_{i_1} \lambda, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., \nu$. Then define $X_\lambda = E_{i_1}^{(a_1)} E_{i_2}^{(a_2)} \cdots E_{i_\nu}^{(a_\nu)}$. By the quantum

Verma identity [L1] we know that X_λ depends only on λ and is indepdent of the choice of the reduced expression.

It is known that X_λ is tight for type A_n with $n \leq 4$. Bedard show that it is semitight for type A_5 by means of computers. Also in a conversation with N. Xi in 1996 Kashiwara supposed that X_λ might be semitight in general (I learned this from Xi). we will show that this monomial is semitight for type A_5 by a direct calculation (Theorem 3.1) and show that in general it is not semitight for type $A_n,\ n \geq 6$ (section 4). The main tool is Kashiwara's bilinear form which will be discussed in the next section.

2 Kashiwara's bilinear form

Following Kashiwara we define a bilinear form on U^+. Kashiwar's bilinear form is useful in studying canonical basis and crystal basis.

(a) For each $P \in U^+$ and F_i, there exist unique $P', P'' \in U^+$ such that

$$PF_i - F_iP = \frac{K_iP' - K_i^{-1}P''}{v - v^{-1}}.$$

Define $\varphi_i(P) = P'', \psi_i(P) = P'$. According to [K, Prop. 3.4.4], we have

(b) There exists a unique symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on U^+ such that $(1,1) = 1, (E_ix, y) = (x, \varphi_i y)$, for all $i \in [1, n]$ and $x, y \in U^+$.

From now on a monomial means a product of some $E_i^{(a)},\ 1 \leq i \leq n$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) Let x be an element in $U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$. Then $x \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if (x, x) is in the subring $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ consisting of rational functions which are regular at $v^{-1} = 0$, see [X5, Corollary 3.14 (b)].

For a rational function f in $\mathcal{B}$, we use f_0 to denote its value at $v^{-1} = 0$. The following result is useful in determining the tightness of a monomial.

(d) Let x be monomial. Then x is semitight if and only if (x, x) is in $\mathcal{B}$, is tight if and only if $(x, x)_0 = 1$, see [X1, Lemma 10.4].

We shall give some properties for the bilinear form which are useful for computing. Let $\beta = \sum a_i\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}R^+$. We define U_β^+ to be the subspace of U^+ consisting of elements $x \in U^+$ such that $K_ixK_i^{-1} = v^{\langle\beta,\alpha_i^\vee\rangle}x$ for all i and set $\sigma(\beta) = \prod_i(v - v^{-1})^{a_i}$. Let a be an integer, set

$$\{a\} = \frac{1 - v^{-2a}}{1 - v^{-2}}, \{b\}! = \{b\}\{b-1\}\cdots\{1\}, \{0\}! = 1,$$

$$\{-b\}! = (-1)^b\{b\}!, \left\{ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} \right\} = \prod_{h=1}^{b} \frac{1 - v^{-2a(a-h+1)}}{1 - v^{-2h}}, \left\{ \begin{matrix} a \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} = 1.$$

We have

(e) $\left\{ \begin{matrix} a+b \\ b \end{matrix} \right\} = \dfrac{\{a+b\}!}{\{a\}!\{b\}!}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.

(f) $\sigma(\beta+\gamma)=\sigma(\beta)\sigma(\gamma)$ for $\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}R^+$.

For $\lambda=b_1\alpha_1+\cdots+b_n\alpha_n\in\mathbb{Z}R$ we set $K_\lambda=K_1^{b_1}\cdots K_n^{b_n}$. For $\beta\in\mathbb{N}R^+$, we will write Σ_β(resp. $\Sigma_\beta^{'}$) for any element of U of the form $\sum uK_\lambda x$ (*resp.* $\sum uK_\lambda x$) where u runs through a finite subset of U^-, x runs through a finite subset of U^+, and λ runs through the set $\{\sum b_i\alpha_i\in\mathbb{Z}R \mid |b_i|\le a_i$ for all i and $\sum b_i\alpha_i\ne\pm\beta\}$ (resp.$\{\sum b_i\alpha_i\in\mathbb{Z}R\mid |b_i|\le a_i$ for all $i\}$). The following assertions (g) and (h) are obvious.

(g) $\Sigma_\beta+\Sigma_\beta=\Sigma_\beta$, $\Sigma_\beta^{'}+\Sigma_\beta^{'}=\Sigma_\beta^{'}$ for $\beta\in\mathbb{N}R^+$.

(h) $\Sigma_\beta\Sigma_\gamma=\Sigma_{\beta+\gamma}$, $\Sigma_\beta^{'}\Sigma_\gamma=\Sigma_{\beta+\gamma}$, $\Sigma_\beta\Sigma_\gamma^{'}=\Sigma_{\beta+\gamma}$ for $\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}R^+$.

The following facts are proved in [X1]

(i) Let $\beta\in\mathbb{N}R^+$ and let $u\in U_{-\beta}^-$ be a monomial of $F_1,\cdots,F_n$. Then for any $x\in U^+$, there exist unique $x_1,x_2\in U^+$ such that

$$xu-ux=\frac{K_\beta x_1+(-1)^{ht(\beta)}K_\beta^{-1}x_2}{\sigma(\beta)}+\Sigma_\beta.$$

(j) Let $\beta,\gamma\in NR^+$ such that $\beta-\gamma\in NR^+$, and let $x\in U_\beta^+$, $y\in U_\gamma^+$, $z\in U_{\beta-\gamma}^+$. Let $\xi_1,\xi_2\in U^+$ be such that (following (i))

$$x\Omega^{'}(y)-\Omega^{'}(y)x=\frac{K_\gamma\xi_1+(-1)^{ht(\gamma)}K_\gamma^{-1}\xi_2}{\sigma(\gamma)}+\Sigma_\gamma.$$

where $\Omega^{'}$ is the $Q(v)$-algebra automorphism of U given by $E_i\longmapsto F_i$, $F_i\longmapsto E_i$, $K_i\longmapsto K_i^{-1}$. Then we have $(x,yz)=(\xi_2,z)$.

For an integer c and a positive integer a we set

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i,c \\ a\end{bmatrix}=\prod_{h=1}^{a}\frac{K_iv^{c-h+1}-K_i^{-1}v^{-(c-h+1)}}{v^h-v^{-h}},\quad \begin{bmatrix} K_i,c \\ 0\end{bmatrix}=1.$$

The following formula is due to Kac (see [L2])

(k) $E_i^{(a)}F_i^{(b)}=\displaystyle\sum_{0\le t\le a,b}F_i^{(b-t)}\begin{bmatrix} K_i,2t-a-b \\ t\end{bmatrix}E_i^{(a-t)}$.

(l) $E_i^aF_j^b=F_j^bE_i^a$ if $i\ne j$.

It is easy to see the following formulas.

(m) $\begin{bmatrix} K_i,c \\ a\end{bmatrix}E_j^{(b)}=E_j^{(b)}\begin{bmatrix} K_i,c+ba_{ij} \\ a\end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} K_i,c \\ a\end{bmatrix}F_j^{(b)}=F_j^{(b)}\begin{bmatrix} K_i,c-ba_{ij} \\ a\end{bmatrix}$.

(n) $\begin{bmatrix} K_i,c \\ a\end{bmatrix}=\dfrac{K_i^a\xi_1+(-1)^aK_i^{-a}v^{-ac}(\{a\}!)^{-1}}{\sigma(a\alpha_i)}+\Sigma_{a\alpha_i}$.

3 Type A_5

The properties (j-n) in section 2 are useful to compute (x,x) for monomial x. In this section we assume that (a_{ij}) is of type A_5. For dominant weight λ, we have defined the element X_λ. The following result was proved first by Bedard with the help of computer.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (a_{ij}) is of type A_5 and λ is a dominant weight. Then the element X_λ is semitight.

Proof. According to 2 (d), we only need to show that (X_λ, X_λ) is regular at $v^{-1} = 0$. Assume that $\lambda = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_5)$. Using the reduced expression $s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_2s_1s_5s_4s_3s_2s_1$ of w_0 we get
$X_\lambda = E_1^{(a_1)}E_2^{(a_1+a_2)}E_1^{(a_2)}E_3^{(a_1+a_2+a_3)}E_2^{(a_2+a_3)}E_1^{(a_3)}E_4^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}E_3^{(a_2+a_3+a_4)}$
$E_2^{(a_3+a_4)}E_1^{(a_4)}E_5^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_4^{(a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_3^{(a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_2^{(a_4+a_5)}E_1^{(a_5)}$.

Using the reduced expression $s_5s_4s_5s_3s_4s_5s_2s_3s_4s_5s_1s_2s_3s_4s_5$ of w_0 we see that X_λ is the same as the following
$X'_\lambda = E_5^{(a_5)}E_4^{(a_4+a_5)}E_5^{(a_4)}E_3^{(a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_4^{(a_3+a_4)}E_5^{(a_3)}E_2^{(a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_3^{(a_2+a_3+a_4)}$
$E_4^{(a_2+a_3)}E_5^{(a_2)}E_1^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_2^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}E_3^{(a_1+a_2+a_3)}E_4^{(a_1+a_2)}E_5^{(a_1)}$.

Thus we have $(X_\lambda, X_\lambda) = (X_\lambda, X'_\lambda)$. By property (j) in section 2 we can compute the value through $X_\lambda \Omega'(X'_\lambda) - \Omega'(X'_\lambda)X_\lambda$. Repeatedly using property (k-n) in section 2 we get

$$(X_\lambda, X_\lambda) = (X_\lambda, X'_\lambda) = \sum v^{-\xi} H_\xi,$$

where the summation is taken for the variables $z_{41}, z_{31}, z_{32}, z_{42}$, z_{21}, z_{22}, $z_{23}, z_{33}, z_{34}, z_{43}$, which are subject to the following conditions:

$0 \le z_{21} \le a_4 + a_5$;
$0 \le z_{22} \le a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{21},\ a_3 + a_4$;
$0 \le z_{23} \le a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{21} - z_{22},\ a_2 + a_3$;
$0 \le a_1 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5 + z_{21} + z_{22} + z_{23}$;

$0 \le z_{31} \le a_3 + a_4 + a_5$; $\quad 0 \le z_{32} \le a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{31},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4$;
$a_4 + a_5 - z_{31} - z_{32} \le a_1 + a_2$;
$0 \le z_{33} \le a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{31},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4$;
$0 \le z_{34} \le a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - z_{33},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - z_{32}$;
$0 \le a_1 - a_3 - 2a_4 - a_5 + z_{31} + z_{32} + z_{33} + z_{34}$;

$0 \le z_{41} \le a_4 + a_5$; $\quad e - z_{41} \le a_1 + a_2 + a_3$;
$0 \le z_{42} \le a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{41},\ a_3 + a_4$; $\quad a_1 + a_2 - a_4 - a_5 + z_{41} + z_{42} \ge 0$;
$0 \le z_{23} \le a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{41} - z_{42},\ a_2 + a_3$;
$0 \le a_1 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5 + z_{41} + z_{42} + z_{43}$;

$H_\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ depend on the variables $z_{41}, z_{31}, \cdots, z_{43}$ and H_ξ takes value 1 at $v^{-1} = 0$ if it is nonzero, and $\xi = (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} z_{2i} - \sum\limits_{i=3}^{5} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} z_{4i} - \sum\limits_{i=3}^{5} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_{3,2i} - z_{43} - a_4)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=3}^{4} z_{3i} - z_{23} - a_4)^2 + (z_{41} - a_5)^2 + (z_{21} - a_5)^2 + (z_{22} -$

$z_{33})^2 + (z_{32} - z_{42})^2 + (\sum_{i=1}^{2} z_{3i} - \sum_{i=4}^{5} a_i)^2 + (\sum_{i=1}^{2} z_{3,2i-1} - \sum_{i=4}^{5} a_i)^2 - (z_{32} - z_{33})^2$.

Let $x_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_{2i} + \sum_{i=3}^{5} a_i$, $x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} z_{4i} - \sum_{i=3}^{5} a_i$, $x_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_{3,2i} - z_{43} - a_4$, $x_4 = -\sum_{i=3}^{4} z_{3i} + z_{23} + a_4$, $x_5 = -z_{41} + a_5$, $x_6 = z_{21} - a_5$, $x_7 = z_{22} - z_{33}$, $x_8 = z_{32} - z_{42}$, $y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_{3i} - \sum_{i=4}^{5} a_i$, $y_2 = -\sum_{i=1}^{2} z_{3,2i-1} + \sum_{i=4}^{5} a_i$, $A = z_{32} - z_{33}$. Then we have $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_8 = 2A$ and $y_1 + y_2 = A$. Therefore we have $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_8^2 \geq 8 \times \frac{1}{4^2} A^2 = \frac{1}{2} A^2$ and $y_1^2 + y_2^2 \geq 2 \times \frac{1}{2^2} A^2 = \frac{1}{2} A^2$. Hence we have $\xi = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_8^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 - A^2 \geq 0$. So (X_λ, X_λ) is regular at $v^{-1} = 0$ and X_λ is semitight. The theorem is proved.

Let ξ, x_i, y_j and A be as in the proof of the theorem. From the proof we see that ξ is 0 if and only if $x_i = \frac{1}{4} A$ and $y_j = \frac{1}{2} A$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 8$ and $j = 1, 2$. That is, $z_{21} = \frac{A}{4} + a_5$; $z_{22} = \frac{A}{4} + z_{33}$; $z_{23} = a_3 + a_4 - \frac{3}{4} A - z_{33}$; $z_{31} = \frac{A}{2} + a_4 + a_5 - z_{32}$; $z_{34} = a_3 + 2a_4 - A - 2z_{33}$; $z_{41} = a_5 - \frac{A}{4}$; $z_{42} = z_{32} - \frac{A}{4}$; $z_{43} = a_3 + a_4 - \frac{5}{4} A + z_{32} - 2z_{33}$; z_{32} and z_{33} are two independent variables.

If all $a_1, \cdots, a_5 \geq 1$, we can easily see that z_{32}, z_{33} are not unique to make sure $\xi = 0$, so that $(X_\lambda, X_\lambda) \geq 2$. One may check that $(X_\lambda, X_\lambda) = 2$ if $\lambda = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

By a careful analysis, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\lambda = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \in \mathbb{N}^5$. Then X_λ is tight if and only if one of the following 8 conditions is satisfied.

(1) $a_1 = a_2 = 0$,
(2) $a_1 = a_4 = 0$,
(3) $a_2 = a_3 = 0$,
(4) $a_2 = a_5 = 0$,
(5) $a_3 = a_4 = 0$,
(6) $a_4 = a_5 = 0$,
(7) $a_2 = 0,\ a_3 = 1$,
(8) $a_4 = 0,\ a_3 = 1$.

4 Type A_6

In this section we assume that (a_{ij}) is of type A_6. Let $\lambda = (a_1, \cdots, a_6)$ be a dominant weight, as in section we get

$X_\lambda = E_1^{(a_1)} E_2^{(a_1+a_2)} E_1^{(a_2)} E_3^{(a_1+a_2+a_3)} E_2^{(a_2+a_3)} E_1^{(a_3)} E_4^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} E_3^{(a_2+a_3+a_4)}$
$E_2^{(a_3+a_4)} E_1^{(a_4)} E_5^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)} E_4^{(a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)} E_3^{(a_3+a_4+a_5)} E_2^{(a_4+a_5)} E_1^{(a_5)}$
$E_6^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6)} E_5^{(a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6)} E_4^{(a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6)} E_3^{(a_4+a_5+a_6)} E_2^{(a_5+a_6)} E_1^{(a_6)}$,

which is the same as the following element,

$X'_\lambda = E_6^{(a_6)} E_5^{(a_5+a_6)} E_6^{(a_5)} E_4^{(a_4+a_5+a_6)} E_5^{(a_4+a_5)} E_6^{(a_4)} E_3^{(a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6)}$
$E_4^{(a_3+a_4+a_5)} E_5^{(a_3+a_4)} E_6^{(a_3)} E_2^{(a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6)} E_3^{(a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)} E_4^{(a_2+a_3+a_4)}$

$E_5^{(a_2+a_3)}E_6^{(a_2)}E_1^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6)}E_2^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)}E_3^{(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}$
$E_4^{(a_1+a_2+a_3)}E_5^{(a_1+a_2)}E_6^{(a_1)}$

By a calculation as in section 3 we we get

$$(X_\lambda, X_\lambda) = (X_\lambda, X'_\lambda) = \sum v^{-\eta} H_\eta,$$

where the summation is taken for the variables $z_{51}, z_{41}, z_{42}, z_{52}, z_{31}, z_{32}, z_{33}, z_{43}, z_{44}, z_{53}, z_{21}, z_{22}, z_{23}, z_{24}, z_{34}, z_{35}, z_{36}, z_{45}, z_{46}, z_{54}$, which are subject to the following conditions:

$0 \leq z_{21} \leq a_5 + a_6;$
$0 \leq z_{22} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{21},\ a_4 + a_5;$
$0 \leq z_{23} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{21} - z_{22},\ a_3 + a_4;$
$0 \leq z_{24} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{31} - z_{32} - z_{33},\ a_2 + a_3;$
$a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{21} - z_{22} - z_{23} - z_{24} \leq a_1;$

$0 \leq z_{31} \leq a_4 + a_5 + a_6;$
$0 \leq z_{32} \leq a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{31},\ a_3 + a_4 + a_5;$
$0 \leq z_{33} \leq a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{31} - z_{32},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4;$
$0 \leq z_{34} \leq a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{31},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5;$
$0 \leq z_{35} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{34},\ a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{32};$
$0 \leq z_{36} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{34} - z_{35},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - z_{33};$
$a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{31} - z_{32} - z_{33} \leq a_1 + a_2;$
$a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + a_6 - \sum_{i=1}^{6} z_{3i} \leq a_1;$

$0 \leq z_{41} \leq a_4 + a_5 + a_6;$
$0 \leq z_{42} \leq a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{41},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5;$
$0 \leq z_{43} \leq a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{41},\ a_3 + a_4 + a_5;$
$0 \leq z_{44} \leq a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{43},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{42};$
$0 \leq z_{45} \leq a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{41} - z_{43},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4;$
$0 \leq z_{46} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 - z_{42} - z_{44},\ a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - z_{45};$
$a_5 + a_6 - z_{41} - z_{42} \leq a_1 + a_2 + a_3;$
$a_4 + 2a_5 + a_6 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} z_{4i} \leq a_1 + a_2;$
$a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + a_6 - \sum_{i=1}^{6} z_{4i} \leq a_1;$

$0 \leq z_{51} \leq a_5 + a_6;$
$0 \leq z_{52} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{51},\ a_4 + a_5;$
$0 \leq z_{53} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{51} - z_{52},\ a_3 + a_4;$
$0 \leq z_{54} \leq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 - z_{51} - z_{52} - z_{53},\ a_2 + a_3;$
$a_6 - z_{51} \leq a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4;$
$a_5 + a_6 - z_{51} - z_{52} \leq a_1 + a_2 + a_3;$

$a_4+a_5+a_6-z_{51}-z_{52}-z_{53}\le a_1+a_2$;
$a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6-z_{51}-z_{52}-z_{53}-z_{54}\le a_1$.

where H_η depend on the variables $z_{51}, z_{41}, \cdots, z_{54}$ and H_η takes value 1 at $v^{-1}=0$ if it is nonzero, and $\eta = (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_{4i} - \sum\limits_{i=5}^{6} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} z_{3i} - \sum\limits_{i=4}^{6} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{4} z_{2i} - \sum\limits_{i=3}^{6} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} z_{4,2i-1} - \sum\limits_{i=4}^{6} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{4} z_{5i} - \sum\limits_{i=3}^{6} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} z_{4,2i} - z_{54} - \sum\limits_{i=4}^{5} a_i)^2 + (\sum\limits_{i=4}^{6} z_{3i} - z_{24} - \sum\limits_{i=4}^{5} a_i)^2 + (z_{33}+z_{36}-z_{45}-z_{46})^2 + (z_{21}-a_6)^2 + (z_{42}-z_{52})^2 + (z_{42}-z_{53})^2 - (z_{42}-z_{45})^2 + (z_{32}-z_{43})^2 + (z_{32}-z_{45})^2 - (z_{32}-z_{34})^2 - (z_{32}-z_{35})^2 + (z_{43}-z_{33})^2 - (z_{43}-z_{42})^2 + (z_{44}-z_{33})^2 + (z_{44}-z_{53})^2 - (z_{44}-z_{45})^2 - (z_{44}-z_{43})^2 + (z_{35}-z_{45})^2 - (z_{35}-z_{33})^2 + (z_{23}-z_{34})^2 - (z_{23}-z_{35})^2 + (z_{34}-z_{22})^2 - (z_{34}-z_{33})^2 + (z_{43}-a_5)^2 + (z_{44}-a_5)^2 - (z_{53}-a_5)^2 + (z_{32}-a_5)^2 + (z_{35}-a_5)^2 - (z_{23}-a_5)^2 + (z_{51}-a_6)^2 - z_{42}(z_{51}-z_{41})$.

Unlike type A_5, the quadratic form η is not positive semidefinite in general. As an example, let $a_1=a_2=1$, $a_3=2$, $a_4=a_5=4$, $a_6=2$, i.e. $\lambda=(1,1,2,4,4,2)$, and $z_{51}=z_{21}=3$, $z_{41}=z_{31}=a_6=2$, $z_{42}=z_{52}=z_{32}=z_{33}=z_{43}=z_{44}=z_{53}=z_{22}=z_{23}=z_{34}=z_{35}=z_{45}=a_5=4$, $z_{24}=z_{36}=z_{46}=z_{54}=1$. Then we have $\eta=-2$. So in general (X_λ, X_λ) is not in $\mathbb{N}[v^{-1}]-\{0\}$. This means that in general the element X_λ is not semitight for type A_n if $n\ge 6$.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Professor Nanhua Xi for his helpful comments.

References

[B] R.Bedard, On tight monomials in quantized enveloping algebras, Represent. Theory. 8 (2004),290-327.

[DL] M.Dyer, and G.Lusztig, Appendix to [L2].

[HYY] Yuwang Hu, Jiachen Ye, Xiaoqing Yue, Canonical baisis for type $A_4(\mathbf{I})-$ Monomial elements, J. algebra. 263 (2003),228-245.

[HY] Yuwang Hu, Jiachen Ye, Canonical baisis for type $A_4(\mathbf{II})-$ Polynomial elements in one variable, Comm. in Alg. 33 (2005), 3855-3877.

[K] M.Kashiwara, On crystal bases of q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Duke. Math. J. 63 (1991), 465-516.

[LH] Xiaochao Li, Yuwang Hu, Polynomial elements in Canonical baisis with two-dimension support for type $A_4(\mathbf{I})$, Algebra. Colloquium. 19 (2012), 117-136.

[L1] G.Lusztig, Finite dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), 257-296.

[L2] G.Lusztig, Quantum groups at roots of 1, Geom. Ded. 35 (1993), 89-114.

[L3] G.Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), 447-498.

[L4] G.Lusztig, Tight monomials in quantized enveloping algebras, in "Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations" ed. A.Joseph and S.Schnider, Isr.Math.Conf.Proc.7(1993), 117-132.

[V] D.N.Verma, Structure of certain induced representatios of complex semisimple Lie algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968),160-168.

[X1] N.Xi, Bases of quantized enveloping algebras,preprint,1995

[X2] N.Xi, Irreducible modules of quantized enveloping algebras at roots of 1, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ. 32 (1996), 235-276.

[X3] N.Xi, Canonical bases for type B_2, J. Alg. 214 (1999), 8-21.

[X4] N.Xi,Canonical bases for type A_3, Comm. in Alg. 27 (1999), 5703-5710.

[X5] N.Xi, A commutation formula for root vectors in quantized enveloping algebras, Pacific J. Math. 189 (1999), 179-199.